

VZCZCXRO7750  
PP RUEHBI RUEHCI  
DE RUEHKO #3515 2130829  
ZNR UUUUU ZZH  
P 010829Z AUG 07  
FM AMEMBASSY TOKYO  
TO RUEHC/SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 6039  
INFO RUEHNE/AMEMBASSY NEW DELHI PRIORITY 8362  
RUEHCI/AMCONSUL CALCUTTA PRIORITY 0009  
RUEHCG/AMCONSUL CHENNAI PRIORITY 0184  
RUEHBI/AMCONSUL MUMBAI PRIORITY 0249  
RUEHUNV/USMISSION UNVIE VIENNA PRIORITY 0453  
RHMCSUU/DEPT OF ENERGY WASHINGTON DC PRIORITY  
RUCNDT/USMISSION USUN NEW YORK PRIORITY 5244

UNCLAS TOKYO 003515

SIPDIS

SENSITIVE  
SIPDIS

DEPT FOR ISN/NESS ALEX BURKART  
DEPT FOR EAP/J JESSICA WEBSTER

E.O. 12958: N/A  
TAGS: [KTIA](#) [ETTC](#) [KNNP](#) [MNUC](#) [PARM](#) [PREL](#) [PGOV](#) [IAEA](#) [JA](#)  
SUBJECT: JAPAN RESPONDS TO U.S.-INDIA NUCLEAR AGREEMENT

REF: STATE 104171

11. (U) This message contains an Action Request - see para. 4.

12. (SBU) EST and POL delivered the non-paper in reftel to officials at the Ministry of Foreign Affairs (MOFA) on July 30 and 31. MOFA Arms Control and Disarmament Division Principal Deputy Director, Junichiro Otaka, noted that MOFA is carefully watching the development of the U.S.-India Agreement for Peaceful Nuclear Cooperation, particularly in light of Prime Minister Abe's scheduled trip to India at the end of August. MOFA Southwest Asia Division India Desk Officer, Naoshige Aoshima, had no additional response.

13. (SBU) Otaka had the following comments/questions:

-- Regarding the timing of an NSG Consultative Group meeting on India, Otaka suggested that such a meeting may be better timed for after India completes negotiations with the IAEA on a safeguards agreement and Additional Protocol.

-- Otaka asked whether the U.S. could provide further guidance on how the Agreement handles the issue of separation between India's military and civilian nuclear programs. Specifically, he asked how the Agreement will help to ensure that reprocessing and other technologies are not transferred from the civilian to the military sector.

-- Finally, Otaka asked for clarification on what steps would be taken under the Agreement in the event of a nuclear test by India. He noted that recent reports in the Indian press had been unclear on this.

14. (SBU) ACTION REQUEST: In response to GOJ comments in para. 3, Post would be grateful for any further guidance the Department can provide.  
SCHIEFFER